



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AFOSR-TR- 33-0303 AL27587	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
DISPERSIVE ORDERING RESULTS	TECHNICAL
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	FSU Statistics Report M651
7. AUTHOR(e)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
James Lynch and Frank Proschan	F49620-82-K-0007
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Florida State University Tallahassee FL 32306	PE61102F; 2304/A5
Tallanassee FL 52500	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate	FEB 83
Air Force Office of Scientific Research	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Bolling AFB DC 20332	5
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Dispersive ordering; dispersive distribution; total positivity; sign change; log concave density; variation diminishing property; partial ordering of distributions.

20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

APR 2 9 1983

E

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

SEE REVERSE

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

04 28 042

TIC FILE COPY

F

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

ITEM #20, CONTINUED:

A distribution F is less dispersed than a distribution G if $F^{-1}(R) - F^{-1}(R) \le G^{-1}(R) - G^{-1}(R)$ for all 0 < R < R < 1 (F disp G). We generalize a characterization of dispersive ordering of Shaked (1982) J. Appl. Prob. concerning sign changes of F_c - G, where F_c is a translate of F. We then use this generalization plus total positivity to develop a simple proof of a characterization of dispersive distributions due to Lewis and Thompson (1981) J. Appl. Prob.; a distribution H is dispersive if F disp $G \Rightarrow H \star F$ disp $G \Rightarrow H \star G$.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Dispersive Ordering Results

by

James Lynch¹ and Frank Proschan²

FSU Statistics Report M651 AFOSR Technical Report No. 83-155

February, 1983

The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306

Accession For		
NTIS	GRA&I	
DTIC		
Unannounced 🔲		
Justification		
By		
Avail and/or		
Dist	Special	
A]	
IA		
4-1		

On leave from the Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University.

Research supported under Grant 4555-82-K-0007 by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAF.

<u>Key Words</u>: Dispersive ordering, dispersive distribution, total positivity, sign change, log concave density, variation diminishing property, partial ordering of distributions.

AMB Subject Classification Numbers: Primary 62E10; Secondary 26A99

Approved for public release; dt cribution unlimited.

Dispersive Ordering Results

by

James Lynch and Frank Proschan

ABSTRACT

A distribution F is less dispersed than a distribution G if $F^{-1}(\beta) - F^{-1}(\alpha) \le G^{-1}(\beta) - G^{-1}(\alpha)$ for all $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ (F disp G). We generalize a characterization of dispersive ordering of Shaked (1982)

J. Appl. Prob. concerning sign changes of F_c - G, where F_c is a translate of F. We then use this generalization plus total positivity to develop a simple proof of a characterization of dispersive distributions due to Lewis and Thompson (1981) J. Appl. Prob.; a distribution H is dispersive if $F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\le} G \Rightarrow H \bullet F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\le} H \bullet G$.

1. Introduction.

Dispersive ordering is a partial ordering of distributions according to their degree of dispersion. In this note we (1) generalize a characterization of dispersive ordering by Shaked (1982) and (2) using this generalization, develop a simple proof of a characterization by Lewis and Thompson (1981) of distributions which preserve dispersive ordering under convolution.

More precisely, for a distribution function (d.f.) F, define $F^{-1}(\alpha) = \inf\{t: F(t) \ge \alpha\} \ (= \sup\{t: F(t) < \alpha\}) \ \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1. \text{ Then, we have:}$

Definition. A d.f. F is <u>less dispersed</u> than a d.f. G if $F^{-1}(\beta) - F^{-1}(\alpha) \le G^{-1}(\beta) - G^{-1}(\alpha)$ for all $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$; we write $F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\le} G$.

83 04 28 042

AIR FORGE OFFICIAL SCHENTIFIC FEEDERCH (AVENUET RELEASED FOR A STATE OF A STA

Saunders and Moran (1978) show that the gamma distributions $\{F_a\}$ with shape parameter a increase in dispersive ordering as a increases; a similar result holds for the ratio of gamma distributions. Saunders (1978) shows how to construct optimal detectors of bright spots in point processes using the notion of dispersive ordering. Shaked (1982) develops a number of useful characterizations of dispersive ordering in the smooth case in which F and G are absolutely continuous with interval supports. Of particular relevance to our results is his characterization in terms of sign changes of F_c - G, where F_c is a translate of F.

Lewis and Thompson (1981) present a relatively lengthy proof that a distribution H preserves disp under convolution if and only if H is absolutely continuous with log concave density h (Theorem 2 below).

In our note, we extend Shaked's sign change characterization to the general case (Theorem 1 below). Then, using this extension, we bring to bear the powerful tools of total positivity (see Karlin, 1968), tailor-made for the study of sign change, to give a shorter, simpler proof of the Lewis and Thompson result.

2. Extension of the Shaked Characterization.

Let $S(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ denote the number of sign changes of the sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n , where zero terms are discarded. Let S(f) denote the number of sign changes of the function f defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$; specifically,

$$S(f) = \sup S[f(t_1), ..., f(t_m)],$$

the supremum being taken over all $t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_m$, m = 2, 3, ...Finally, let $S_c \equiv S(F_c-G)$ for d.f.'s F and G. Theorem 1. $F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\leq} G \iff \text{for each real } c$, (a) $S(F_c-G) \leq 1$ and (b) if $S_c = 1$, then $F_c - G$ changes sign from - to +.

<u>Proof.</u> \Rightarrow For fixed c, let t_0 satisfy $G(t_0) > F_c(t_0)$. Let $t_* = \inf\{t: G(s) \ge F_c(s) \text{ for } t \le s \le t_0\}$. We will show that $t_* = -\infty$. From this it will follow that $F_c - G$ can never change from + to -. This will complete the proof.

Suppose $t_* > -\infty$. By the definition of t_* and the right continuity of F and G, $G(t_*) \ge F_C(t_*)$ and $G(t_*-\varepsilon) < F_C(t_*-\varepsilon)$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix such an ε ; then let $\alpha = F_C(t_*-\varepsilon)$ and $\beta = G(t_0)$. It follows that

$$G^{-1}(\alpha) \ge t_* - \varepsilon \ge F_c^{-1}(\alpha)$$

and

$$G^{-1}(\beta) \le t_0 < F_c^{-1}(\beta)$$
.

Thus

$$G^{-1}(\beta) - G^{-1}(\alpha) < F_c^{-1}(\beta) - F_c^{-1}(\alpha)$$

contradicting F $\overset{\text{disp}}{\leq}$ G. This proves the \Rightarrow part.

Let H_n denote the exponential d.f. with mean $\mu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $F_n = H_n + F$ and $G_n = H_n + G$, where * denotes convolution. Since H_n has a log concave density and since $S_c \le 1$ for all real c, with a - to + sign change if $S_c = 1$, then by the variation diminishing theorem of Karlin (1968), Chap. 5, it follows that $S(F_{nc}-G_n) \le 1$, with a - to + sign change if $S(F_{nc}-G_n) = 1$. Thus $F_n \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\le} G_n$ by Theorem 2.1 of Shaked (1981) since F_n and G_n are both absolutely continuous with interval supports.

Since F_n + F (G_n + G) in distribution as n + ∞ , it follows that F_n^{-1} + F^{-1} (G_n^{-1} + G^{-1}) at continuity points of F^{-1} (G^{-1}). Thus

(2.1)
$$F^{-1}(\beta) - F^{-1}(\alpha) \le G^{-1}(\beta) - G^{-1}(\alpha)$$

for $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ at continuity points of both F and G. Since F^{-1} and G^{-1} are left continuous, this shows that (2.1) holds for all α and β satisfying $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Dispersive Distributions.

<u>Definition</u>. A d.f. H is said to be <u>dispersive</u> if $H * F \overset{\text{disp}}{\leq} H * G$ whenever $F \overset{\text{disp}}{\leq} G$.

Next we present a simpler proof of Theorem 8 of Lewis and Thompson (1981) characterizing dispersive d.f.'s. The simplification derives from the use of total positivity which is usually the appropriate way to treat problems of sign change.

Theorem 2. Let H be a nondegenerate d.f.. Then H is dispersive \iff H is absolutely continuous with a log concave density.

<u>Proof.</u> \Rightarrow Let $F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\leq} G$. From Theorem 1, for each real c, $S_c = S(F_c - G) \leq 1$, with a - to + sign change if $S_c = 1$. Since H has a log concave density, then by the variation diminishing theorem of Karlin (1968), Chap. 5, $S[(H \Rightarrow F)_c - (H \Rightarrow G)] \leq 1$, with a - to + sign change if $S[(H \Rightarrow F)_c - (H \Rightarrow G)] \approx 1$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $H \Rightarrow F \stackrel{\text{disp}}{\leq} H \Rightarrow G$.

➤ We use essentially Lewis and Thompson's argument, but avoid unnecessary details of their proof. Our proof is based on their elementary proof of the "only if" part of their Theorem 7; we restate this for completeness.

Lemma. Let F be dispersive and twice continuously differentiable.

Then F has a log concave density.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, let H be dispersive and let Φ_{σ} denote a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . From the first part of Theorem 2, note that Φ_{σ} is dispersive, and thus, Φ_{σ} • H is also dispersive. Since Φ_{σ} • H is infinitely differentiable, it follows from the Lemma above that Φ_{σ} • H has a log concave density. Since Φ_{σ} • H + H in distribution as σ + 0, H has a log concave density. (See Ibragimov, 1956; note that a distribution is "strongly unimodal" in Ibragimov's terminology iff its density is log concave.)

REFERENCES

Ibragimov, I.A. (1956) On the composition of unimodal distributions. Theory Prob. Appl., 1, 255-260.

Karlin, S. (1968) Total Positivity, Vol. 1. Stanford University Press.

Lewis, T. and Thompson, J.W. (1981) Dispersive distributions and the connection between dispersivity and strong unimodality. J. Appl. Prob., 18, 76-90.

Saunders, I.W. (1978) Locating bright spots in a point process. Adv. Appl. Prob., 10, 587-612.

Saunders, I.W. and Moran, P.A.P. (1978) On the quantiles of the gamma and F distributions. J. Appl. Prob., 15, 426-432.

Shaked, M. (1982) Dispersive ordering of distributions. <u>J. Appl.</u> Prob., 19, 310-320.

FILMED

(t

6-83